## FILED UNDER SEAL

## **EXHIBIT 278**

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 CASE NUMBER: 22-CV-10904-JSR 4 ACTION FOR DAMAGES 5 GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES 6 VIRGIN ISLANDS, 7 Plaintiff, 8 VS. 9 JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., 10 Defendant. ) 11 12 13 14 15 VIDEO RECORDED DEPOSITION OF 16 VINCENT FRAZER 17 THURSDAY, JULY 13, 2023 18 19 2.0 REPORTED BY: 21 DENISE D. HARPER-FORDE Certified Shorthand Reporter (CSR) 22 Certified RealTime Reporter (CRR) 23 Certified LiveNote Reporter (CLR) Registered Professional Reporter (RPR) 24 Notary Public (FLORIDA) 25



1	give specific information as to where
2	he would be residing when he was not
3	in one of his own homes, when he was
4	out Of the country particularly or out
5	of whatever it's away from one of
6	his own homes.
7	His concern was out of having
8	to disclose whose residence he may
9	have been staying in, and they
10	expressed some apprehensions about
11	making that information publicly
12	available.
13	Q. Okay. What was your reaction
14	to the various issues that his lawyers
15	were raising?
16	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Object to
17	form.
18	THE WITNESS: Initially my
19	reaction was we would apply the law
20	strictly the way the law was written.
21	And so it required him, as other
22	registrants, to come in and provide
23	all of the information that is
24	required by statute.
25	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):



1	Q. You said that was your initial
2	reaction.
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Did that change over time?
5	A. When yes. When his lawyer
6	then began to explain and provide
7	justification for their requests.
8	Q. And how did it change?
9	A. Well, we began to consider
10	we, myself and my staff, began to
11	consider the facts that they had
12	brought. Because until I received the
13	request from Epstein's lawyers, I did
14	not know him personally or by
15	reputation. I didn't know anything
16	about him.
17	So when the correspondence and
18	information they provided indicated
19	and explained that he was a
20	basically a financier, investment
21	banker or something of that sort that
22	required him to be very mobile and in
23	and out of the territory on very short
24	notice because he was in the type of
25	business that required him to travel



1	frequently. Then we considered that.
2	So we began to see whether or
3	not the law could accommodate it.
4	And, for the most part, initially it
5	was determined that we could not
6	accommodate the requests he was
7	making.
8	Q. And, again, did that
9	subsequently change over time?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. In what way?
12	A. It changed in the discretion
13	was given to the Attorney General that
14	provided for a relaxation of some of
15	the time requirement and notice, prior
16	notice requirement for his travels.
17	Q. Okay. Did you ultimately
18	grant him the accommodations that he
19	was seeking?
20	A. To my recollection, we granted
21	some by through the discretion of
22	the Attorney General, we granted some
23	relaxation.
24	Q. Was there withdrawn.
25	Was Mr Enstein the first time



1	the placement of the registrant to
2	particular tiers. That's my
3	recollection.
4	Q. Uh-huh. Let's look at Exhibit
5	54 for a second or Tab 54 for
6	for which we'll call Exhibit 3.
7	(Whereupon, Defendant's
8	Exhibit No. 3, Bill No.
9	29-0239, was marked for
LO	identification)
L1	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
L2	Q. All right. Sir, do you
L3	recognize Exhibit 3 as the sex
L4	offender legislation that was passed
L5	in the summer of 2012?
L6	A. I recognize Exhibit 3 to be a
L7	copy of Article 7372, which shows it
L8	was passed in 2012.
L9	Q. Okay. If you look at the last
20	page of the exhibit, you can see the
21	seal of the Governor. Do you see
22	that?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And it's dated July 18th of
25	2012. Do you see that?



1 Α. Yes. 2 Ο. So that's the day in which 3 this bill was signed into law? 4 Α. Yes. 5 O. Okay. And this is a law 6 you're familiar with? 7 ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Object to 8 form. 9 THE WITNESS: I used to be 10 familiar. 11 (BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN): 12 Ο. I'm not expecting you Sure. 13 to remember off the top of your head 14 every detail. But there was a time 15 when it was part of your job to be familiar with this law? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 Okay. And let's just take a Ο. 19 look at the tiering statute, tiering 20 portion of the statute just so we can 21 see if we agree on how this works. 22 If you turn to page 9 of the 23 statute, you'll see a Section 1721B, 24 Tier Defenses. Do you see that? 25 Α. Yes.



1	has concluded its effort to draft
2	proposed legislation."
3	Do you see that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. What was this task force?
6	A. Well, it was a task force we
7	assembled to assist us with drafting
8	new and upgrading of our SORNA law.
9	Q. All right. And the article
10	references a 2011 deadline for
11	upgrading the law to be in compliance
12	with SORNA. Do you see that?
13	A. Okay. You say it here. I
14	haven't seen it yet, but
15	Q. What was that deadline as you
16	recall it?
17	A. I don't recall.
18	Q. All right.
19	A. They may have had a deadline
20	for us to be in compliance with
21	Federal law. I don't remember what it
22	was.
23	Q. And was compliance with that
24	Federal law important to getting
25	funding to support your registration



1	program?
2	A. Yes.
3	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Object to
4	form.
5	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
6	Q. Did the Virgin Islands get its
7	new legislation passed by the July
8	2011 deadline?
9	A. I don't recall.
10	Q. We just looked at some
11	legislation that was passed in the
12	summer of 2012, correct?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. So that would be a year after
15	the deadline, right?
16	A. If that's what the dates
17	show.
18	Q. Take a look.
19	A. Yeah.
20	Q. Do you remember why it was
21	that the Virgin Islands missed the
22	statutory deadline?
23	A. I don't recall. We may have
24	gotten an extensions, I think. I
25	think we had gotten extensions on it.



1	Q. Do you know why if the statute
2	was drafted by the task force in April
3	of 2011, as this article indicates,
4	that it didn't get passed for another
5	more than a year?
6	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Object to
7	form.
8	THE WITNESS: I don't recall
9	why. You notice the the proposed
10	legislation required Federal review as
11	well. So that may have been part of
12	the time span as well.
13	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
14	Q. Do you remember that that was
15	part of the time span or are you just
16	guessing?
17	A. No, I see reference to it in
18	the article.
19	Q. The article says that the
20	legislation will be sent to the Office
21	of the Governor, the U.S. Department
22	of Justice and the Virgin Islands
23	legislature for adoption. Do you see
24	that?
25	A. Yes.



1	Q. Do you know whether DoJ
2	excuse me whether U.S. DoJ approval
3	was required before the Virgin Islands
4	legislature could adopt the statute?
5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q. Yes, you do know or yes, it
7	was required?
8	A. The answer is yes, it was
9	required.
LO	Q. Okay. Do you remember there
L1	being any delay in getting the
L2	approval from the U.S. Department of
L3	Justice?
L4	A. I wouldn't say there was
L5	there were back and forth between us
L6	and Department of Justice, adjustments
L7	they may have required that we may
L8	have had to send back up to get the
L9	approval.
20	Q. Uh-huh. Do you recall that
21	being a significant source of delay in
22	getting
23	A. I don't recall.
24	Q the statute passed?
25	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Object to



1	days. Otherwise, I could not go for a
2	day trip to Tortola at the last
3	minute."
4	Do you see that?
5	A. Okay. Yes.
6	Q. From your point of view, sir,
7	how important was it in constructing
8	sex offender registration legislation
9	that it permit Mr. Epstein to make
LO	spontaneous trips to Tortola?
L1	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Object to
L2	form.
L3	THE WITNESS: My understanding
L4	of the SORNA law, purpose was not to
L5	restrict a person's movement. It was
L6	to follow, to monitor the movement.
L7	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
L8	Q. Okay. Let me ask you the
L9	question in a slightly different way,
20	sir. From your point of view well,
21	withdrawn.
22	Sir, do you think there was
23	any law enforcement interest in
24	structuring a sex offender
25	registration law such that it would



1	A and poor people.
2	Q. And you we're not talking
3	about Mr. Epstein going to Tortola
4	for a day because he's a charter boat
5	captain and needs to do it for his
6	work. We're talking about someone who
7	is expressing desire to go for
8	pleasure. Was that important to
9	facilitate in the SORNA legislation?
LO	A. The SORNA legislation, you
L1	referenced the conference that was
L2	held in the working on the SORNA
L3	law. One of the reasons that the task
L4	force was assembled, which was
L5	included persons from various agencies
L6	within the government and some private
L7	private stakeholders, was because
L8	our law was what I would call a
L9	first-generation SORNA law. And there
20	hadn't been any upgrade of that law
21	for quite some time.
22	And there was a
23	requirement the feds recognized, and
24	we agreed with them, we need to
5	ungrade the law And there were



Right. 1 I'm --Α. 2 ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: 3 Objection. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that 4 5 I may have seen that -- whether what I saw was this Exhibit 10 I don't 6 7 recall. 8 (BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN): 9 0. Don't recall one way or the 10 other? 11 I don't recall. Α. 12 You were certainly involved in 13 some of the discussions with 14 Mr. Epstein's counsel about what 15 changes they wanted to see in the 16 legislation? 17 ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Objection; 18 misstates prior testimony. 19 THE WITNESS: What changes 20 they proposed as suggestions or 21 changes, yes. 22 (BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN): 23 Okay. And then you can see on 24 the first page that Ms. Carbon writes 25 to Ms. Hodge on June 25th, "Attached



1	is our counterproposal."
2	Do you see that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Was it important from your
5	perspective to try to reach some kind
6	of agreement on a proposal with
7	counsel for Mr. Epstein?
8	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Objection
9	to form.
10	THE WITNESS: It was no less
11	important as how consideration we
12	would give to any citizen that has an
13	interest in pending legislation that
14	we may be willing to hear from them.
15	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
16	Q. Uh-huh. So it's normal in
17	your practice when you're considering
18	criminal justice regulation to make
19	sure it's agreeable to the prospective
20	offenders that the legislation
21	A. We have had
22	Q will regulate to the
23	prospective offenders that the
24	legislation will regulate?
25	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Objection



1	to form.
2	THE WITNESS: We have had
3	I've had my experience, several
4	times, in maybe legislation that is
5	pending that we receive commends and
6	concerns from defense counsel, whether
7	it be private counsel or the Public
8	Defender's Office, and we give some
9	consideration to what they may be
10	requesting.
11	It doesn't mean we would agree
12	them and put what they want in it.
13	But if we feel that it does not
14	obstruct the objective and efficacy of
15	the legislation, we would engage in
16	that discussion.
17	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
18	Q. So you're saying it would be
19	typical for you to share back and
20	forth drafts and see if you can reach
21	agreement with the offenders on
22	whether they like the legislation
23	you're proposing?
24	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Objection
25	to form.



1 THE WITNESS: That's not what 2 I said. 3 (BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN): 4 Okay. Would you agree that Ο. 5 that's what happened here? 6 Α. No. 7 ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Objection 8 to form. 9 (BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN): There wasn't a back and forth 10 Ο. 11 with counsel for the offender? 12 ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Objection 13 to form. 14 (BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN): 15 Ο. You can answer. 16 I had discussions back and 17 forth with legal counsel. 18 For the offender? 0. 19 Maria -- Maria Hodge represent 20 a whole lot of different people. 21 I speak to Maria -- when I speak to 22 Maria Hodge in -- in this exchange on 23 this, I am extending a courtesy to a 24 member of the Virgin Islands bar in 25 trying to craft a legislation that



1 affects the -- the whole Virgin 2 Islands, everyone in the Virgin 3 Islands. 4 So that's who I'm negotiating 5 with. I'm not negotiating with 6 I don't have anything with Epstein. 7 Epstein. I wasn't -- I had the 8 exchange and proposals with Attorney 9 Hodge --10 All right. 0. 11 -- who at the same time had, I Α. 12 think from -- from what you show from 13 Exhibit 12 -- well, I'm sorry, one of 14 the exhibits, was having -- was 15 making -- submitting her proposal to 16 the legislature as well. So the 17 passage of the law, I mean, it comes 18 from many different sources. 19 Ο. Yeah. Did you think Ms. Hodge 20 was working for anybody other than 21 Mr. Epstein? 22 I had an exchange with 23 Attorney Maria Hodge as a respected 24 member of the Virgin Islands bar. And 25 regardless of who she's working for, I



1 will give her the same respect. 2 That's not what I asked you, O. 3 sir. 4 Well, that's what I'm telling Α. 5 you, that's how it is. 6 Did you --Ο. 7 Α. That's what -- but regardless 8 of who -- if Maria Hodge represents 9 someone who is a murderer --10 0. Uh-huh. 11 -- and there is a legislation Α. 12 that is -- that is going through that 13 she has an interest that may have an 14 impact with her client, I will engage 15 in a discussion with her with regard 16 to the law, a proposed law, as a 17 courtesy and respect to her as a 18 respected member of the bar. 19 Ο. So I'm going to resist the 20 urge here. I'll ask you a different 21 question. Let me just make sure I 22 understand who you thought Ms. Hodge 23 represented in the time that you were 24 having this dialogue. Am I correct



that you understood that Ms. Hodge

25

1 No, I'm good. THE WITNESS: 2 ATTORNEY NEIMAN: All right. 3 Let's keep going then. 4 ATTORNEY NEIMAN: Let's go to 5 -- I'll will show you a new exhibit, 6 sir. 7 ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Is this 8 18? 9 ATTORNEY NEIMAN: Yeah. We'll call this Exhibit 18. 10 11 (Whereupon, Defendant's 12 Exhibit No. 18, Letter dated March 14, 2019, was marked for 13 14 identification) 15 (BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN): 16 All right. And if you could 0. 17 turn in Exhibit 31 to the page with 18 Bates number 12480. 19 Α. To Exhibit 18? 20 Ο. Exhibit 18. Yeah, I'm sorry. 21 It's Exhibit 31 in my book, but it's 22 Exhibit 18 for you. If you could turn 23 to the page 12480 at the bottom. All 24 right, sir? 25 Α. What page? I was looking at



1 the date. 2 Ο. 12480. Let me know when 3 you're there. 4 Α. Okav. 5 O. Okay. So this is a letter 6 dated July 16th, 2012 to you from Ms. 7 Hodge. Do you see that? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And the topic of the letter in 10 the Re line is a request pursuant to 11 Bill 29-0239 for reduction/approval of 12 notice procedures for travel outside 13 the Virgin Islands by Jeffrey Epstein. 14 Do you see that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And in the first sentence of 17 the letter, Ms. Hodge thanks you for 18 meeting with her and Mr. Indyke on 19 Friday, July 13th, to discuss 20 implementation of the new travel 21 notice procedures for registered sex 22 offenders. Do you see that? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Ο. And that's a meeting that you 25 had with them actually before the



1 Governor had even signed the bill into 2 law. Isn't that right? 3 I'm sorry. You have a 4 question? 5 O. Yeah. My question was --6 Α. I'm sorry. 7 -- the meeting that you had Ο. 8 with Mr. Epstein's counsel to discuss 9 giving him a reduction of notice 10 procedures was before the law, the new 11 bill, had even been signed into law by 12 the Governor, right? 13 Yes, it appears so. Α. 14 Q. Okay. 15 Uh-huh. Α. 16 Do you recall that meeting? 0. 17 No, I do not. Α. 18 All right. You can see this Ο. 19 is a several-page letter that they 20 have submitted to you with laying out 21 what they're asking for. And then if 22 you turn to page 12483, you can see a 23 letter from you to Ms. Hodge on July 24 25th, so about nine days after her 25 letter to you. Do you see that?



1	A. Yes. I see that.
2	Q. All right. And you indicate
3	in withdrawn.
4	I take it this July 25th, 2012
5	letter is your response to Ms. Hodge's
6	letter to you of July 16th. Fair?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And what you say in your
9	letter in the second paragraph is,
LO	quote, "It is my understanding that
L1	Mr. Epstein's business activities
L2	require him to make frequent and often
L3	unexpected trips out of the territory
L4	to United States destinations and to
L5	international destinations."
L6	Do you see that?
L7	A. Yes.
L8	Q. And you say in the next
L9	paragraph, "Based upon your
20	representation and that of Attorney
21	Darren Indyke, we will grant the
22	waiver."
23	Do you see that?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And then you lay out certain



1	conditions for the waiver, correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Am I correct, sir, that this
4	grant of a waiver, as you say here,
5	was based on the representations of
6	Ms. Hodge and Mr. Indyke and not on
7	any evidence they presented to you?
8	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Objection
9	to form.
10	THE WITNESS: It was based on
11	the information's that they
12	provided.
13	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
14	Q. And that information was
15	what's set forth in the letter of July
16	16th, correct?
17	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Objection
18	to form.
19	THE WITNESS: At least that at
20	minimum.
21	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
22	Q. Can you identify any
23	A. If there's anything else, I
24	can't recall.
25	Q. Okay. You can't identify



1 anything else they provided you? 2 Α. I can't recall if there was 3 anything else. 4 Okav. All right. And then 5 you can see that if you turn the page 6 again to page 12485, you will see that 7 you got an immediate letter back from 8 Ms. Hodge asking for further waivers. 9 You see that? 10 Α. Yeah. 11 And what Ms. Hodge writes to 0. 12 you in the first paragraph of her 13 response letter is -- let's see. 14 first sentence indicates that she's 15 received your letter. In the second 16 sentence, he says, "We appreciate the 17 consideration given to Mr. Epstein's 18 frequent travel requirements. 19 However, we still have serious 20 concerns regarding what we believe are 21 undue restrictions placed on 22 Mr. Epstein's travel in the conduct of 23 his business and professional 24 activities." 25 Do you see that?



1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Can you recall anything
3	specific that Ms. Hodge told you about
4	the nature of Mr. Epstein's business
5	and professional activities?
6	A. I don't recall.
7	Q. And do you recall ever seeing
8	any documents or any evidence from
9	anyone other than his lawyers showing
10	that his business and professional
11	activities required frequent travel?
12	A. I don't recall if I received
13	anything else.
14	Q. Okay. Do you recall that you
15	granted the waiver that Ms. Hodge
16	requested in this follow-up letter?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Why did you grant it?
19	A. I was satisfied with the
20	representations that were made by his
21	counsel; and to the extent there was
22	additional materials submitted, I
23	it was sufficient for me to reconsider

Q. Are you saying that there was



my decision.

24

25

1	there were less information about his
2	activities at that time
3	Q. Uh-huh.
4	A than now.
5	Q. Okay. But we saw that in the
6	file of your organization, there was
7	the 2010 article from The Daily Beast
8	about how he had more that the
9	how the federal investigation had
LO	identified more than 40 victims.
L1	Remember that?
L2	A. That wasn't sufficient to
L3	me.
L4	Q. Did the staff tell you that?
L5	A. I don't recall if they told me
L6	that.
L7	Q. Okay. But it wouldn't have
L8	mattered?
L9	A. Would it have mattered to
20	for me to say to try to restrict
21	him in the Virgin Islands from going
22	to Los Angeles? Probably not. That's
23	not my role.
24	Q. Okay.
25	A. My role is that when he's in



1	the Virgin Islands I know where he is.
2	When he's not in the Virgin Islands, I
3	know where he is. That's what the
4	role of our monitor, our registry was.
5	Q. Okay. And you think you know
6	where he is if you know what city he's
7	in
8	A. If I know what city he's in
9	Q even though you don't know
LO	where hold on. Let me finish
L1	asking my question.
L2	A. Sorry.
L3	Q. You think it satisfied the law
L4	enforcement interest in knowing where
L5	he is if you know what city he's in?
L6	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Objection
L7	to form.
L8	THE WITNESS: It was
L9	satisfactory to me in 2012.
20	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
21	Q. Okay. Did your staff tell you
22	that he had settled cases with a dozen
23	women paying more than a million
24	dollars each?
25	A. I don't recall.



1	it's a matter of an agent going to
2	their place of residence in a car and
3	making a check. It required more than
4	that to go to Little St. James to
5	check on him also. So we didn't have
6	a planned program of how many times he
7	will be checked.
8	ATTORNEY NEIMAN: Okay. Let's
9	take a look, if we could, at
10	COURT REPORTER: If we could
11	take a quick break?
12	ATTORNEY NEIMAN: Sure.
13	COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
14	VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record
15	at 5:12.
16	(Off the record)
17	(Back on the record)
18	VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record.
19	The time is 5:27 P.M.
20	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
21	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Frazer.
22	A. Good afternoon.
23	Q. Sir, am I correct that in
24	order to enter or leave the Virgin
25	Islands from the United States, you



1 need to clear customs? 2 Α. Yes. 3 And that's true even if you're 4 flying on a private plane? 5 Yes. Α. 6 As the Attorney General of the Ο. 7 Virgin Islands, did you have the 8 ability, if you had a law enforcement 9 reason, to find out who was traveling 10 on a particular plane that entered the 11 Virgin Islands? 12 ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Object to 13 form. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, I imagine I 15 could. 16 (BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN): 17 Did you ever try to find out Ο. 18 who was traveling with Jeffrey Epstein 19 on his private jet? 20 Α. No. 21 Ο. All right. We were taking 22 before the break about the monitoring 23 I was going to show you a 24 document related to that. 25 ATTORNEY NEIMAN: If we could



that she worked for him. 1 2 Ο. Okay. And how did you learn 3 that she worked for him? 4 I don't recall how I knew, how 5 it came to my attention then. 6 didn't --7 Okay. Your letter granting Ο. 8 the discretionary waiver states 9 that -- in fact, let's just pull it 10 out. 11 Do you have Exhibit 4 in front 12 of you? 13 Α. Yeah. 14 If you would turn at the 15 bottom to the page that's 12263, 16 please. Let me know when you have that in front of you. 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ο. And this is one of the letters 20 that you sent to Attorney Hodge 21 specifying the conditions of the 22 discretionary waiver that you granted 23 Mr. Epstein, correct? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. And if you look at the



1	third full paragraph of that letter,
2	it states, "Based upon your
3	representation and that of Attorney
4	Indyke."
5	Did I read that correctly?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And what representations were
8	you referring to there?
9	A. I believe you saw in the
LO	record several letters from Attorney
L1	Maria Hodge and Attorney Indyke and
L2	the meetings that were had. So the
L3	representation includes the totality
L4	of all of the correspondence and the
L5	discussions and the meetings.
L6	Q. So if you would go to Exhibit
L7	5, please. I'm sorry. Nope, stick
L8	with Exhibit 4, and go to the page
L9	that is the letter that begins at
20	Bates number 12246. Let me know when
21	you're there.
22	A. Okay. Yes.
23	Q. And is this letter that begins
24	at page 12246 a letter that you
25	received from Mr. Induke?



1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And does this letter contain
3	representations upon which you relied
4	in exercising your discretion to make
5	decisions regarding Mr. Epstein's
6	notification requirements?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. This was included.
10	Q. Okay. I'm sorry. You said
11	this was?
12	A. Included, yes.
13	Q. Okay. If you would turn,
14	please, to page 2 of this letter
15	A. Uh-huh.
16	Q I want to direct your
17	attention to the paragraph that begins
18	first. It's the first full paragraph
19	on that page.
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Are you with me?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. The second yes. The
24	second sentence of that paragraph
25	reads, "Mr. Epstein has followed the



1	same procedure in the State of
2	Florida, the very same jurisdiction of
3	Mr. Epstein's conviction which gave
4	rise to his registration requirement,
5	where Mr. Epstein is permitted to
6	provide E-mail notification of his
7	arrival and departure."
8	Did I read that correctly?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And is that a representation
11	upon which you relied in formulating
12	your decision
13	A. That representation
14	however, remember that I also had my
15	staff to research the requirements
16	from Florida and New York, the two
17	particular jurisdictions that we were
18	dealing with Epstein.
19	Q. Okay. And if you look at the
20	next sentence, it reads, "Mr. Epstein
21	provides E-mail notification to the
22	State of New Mexico when he travels to
23	and from his vacation home in that
24	jurisdiction."
25	Did I read that correctly?



1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And is that a representation
3	upon which you relied?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. That same sentence
6	references the State of New York. Do
7	you see that?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And did you rely on Mr.
LO	Indyke's representations regarding the
L1	State of New York?
L2	A. That and the research that we
L3	had done
L4	Q. Okay.
L5	A my staff had done.
L6	Q. If you look at the next
L7	paragraph, sir, the one that begins
L8	"Communication between the Department
L9	of Justice," let me know when you're
20	there?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. Would you please
23	read well, let me read the last
24	sentence of that paragraph where Mr.
25	Indyke writes, "In short, I believe,



1	as do the states of Florida, New
2	Mexico and New York, that there is no
3	public safety necessity in requiring
4	Mr. Epstein to notify the Department
5	in person each time he travels to or
6	from the jurisdiction."
7	Did I read that correctly?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And is that part of the of
LO	the representations made upon which
L1	you relied?
L2	A. We took it into
L3	consideration.
L4	Q. Okay. I'll ask you a
L5	question, sir, while I look for this
L6	next document. You mentioned earlier
L7	that you believe there was not the
L8	same type of information about
L9	Mr. Epstein known in 2012 or available
20	in 2012 as is available now. What did
21	you mean by that?
22	A. I think in 2012, as I
23	indicated, I think 2011, at the time
24	that Mr. Epstein came up on our radar
25	in the sexual offender office was the



1	and the law provide for latitude for
2	an exercise of discretion. Based on
3	the totality of the information that
4	was given to me, I made a decision
5	based on that.
6	Q. If you would look at Exhibit
7	22, please. Actually take it back.
8	We can let's stick with Exhibit 21.
9	A. Uh-huh.
LO	Q. There's an insinuation in
L1	Exhibit 21 that either you or Senator
L2	Russell did one thing or said one
L3	thing and did another. Do you see
L4	that?
L5	A. Yes.
L6	Q. Do you have any any
L7	suspicion as to where that suggestion
L8	may have come from?
L9	A. No, I don't. Certainly I
20	don't know what in reference to
21	what Senator Russell may have done, I
22	don't know what they may be speaking
23	of. In some of these it makes to, I
24	suppose it's suggestion that I did



something contrary to what I may have

25

1	indicated previously. And I think
2	it's kind of a vague notion on what
3	may be referenced.
4	Q. Okay. And what is that vague
5	notion?
6	A. I think the meetings that they
7	the meetings that were had when we
8	you saw that Attorney Anderson and
9	Attorney Carbon met with Maria Hodge
10	and Attorney Indyke. I was off
11	island. I think I may have been on
12	vacation or something or at a
13	conference or something. And they
14	were they met with Maria Hodge, and
15	there may have been some exchange and
16	some, I think, misunderstanding as to
17	our agreement on language.
18	And when I got back, my
19	representation from me may have been
20	contrary to what they may have
21	misunderstood. And so where they may
22	have thought we had agreement, we may
23	not have had agreement on it. And
24	that may be some of what they were
25	talking about.



1	As to exactly what part of it,
2	I don't recall what part, but I think,
3	as I think back, that may be some of
4	what they're referring to.
5	Q. Okay. Speaking of going on
6	vacation, there was some testimony
7	earlier today, earlier this afternoon
8	about trips to Tortola. Do you recall
9	that?
LO	A. Yes.
L1	Q. Okay. Where how does one
L2	get to Tortola from the U.S. Virgin
L3	Islands?
L4	A. Take a ferry from Red Hook,
L5	close over here, or a ferry from
L6	downtown St. Thomas.
L7	Q. How long a ferry ride is it?
L8	A. It's about 45 minutes.
L9	Q. How common or how often
20	does that ferry run?
21	A. The ferry runs about probably
22	maybe every probably not every
23	hour. Maybe probably every because
24	you have three ferry companies.
25	So probably like maybe three within



three-hour time of ferries leaving. 1 2 Ο. Okay. And does that run --3 Α. Very frequent. 4 Ο. Does it run seven days a 5 week? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And is it -- in your 8 experience as a native Virgin Islander, is it common for residents 9 of the Virgin Islands to visit 10 11 Tortola? 12 Α. Very much so. 13 There was reference 0. Okay. 14 earlier to the U.S. Customs and Border 15 Protection. Do you recall that 16 testimony? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And so just to be clear, when Ο. 19 an individual leaves the Virgin 20 Islands, that individual has to clear 21 customs, correct? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And is that customs check 24 performed by the Government of the Virgin Islands? 25



1	A. No, it's not.
2	Q. Who performs that customs
3	check?
4	A. The custom check is done by
5	the Federal United States Custom and
6	Border Protection agency.
7	Q. Did the to your knowledge,
8	did the Federal Custom and Border
9	Protection agency ever advise law
10	enforcement officials in the Virgin
11	Islands of any suspicion or suspicious
12	activity concerning Mr. Epstein's
13	travels?
14	A. They never informed me. I'm
15	not aware they ever informed the
16	Police Commissioner.
17	Q. Mr. Frazer, or Attorney
18	Frazer
19	A. Uh-huh.
20	Q do you believe that the
21	waivers that you granted in your
22	discretion enabled Mr. Epstein to
23	engage in sex trafficking?
24	ATTORNEY NEIMAN: Objection,
25	foundation. You can answer it.



## VINCENT FRAZER U.S. VIRGIN ISLANDS vs JP MORGAN CHASE

July 13, 2023 267

1 THE WITNESS: No, I don't 2 believe so. 3 (BY ATTORNEY ACKERMAN): 4 And why don't you believe so? Ο. 5 Α. Our job was to monitor his 6 presence within the territory or out 7 of the territory. What he does while 8 he's out of the territory we have no 9 way of knowing. When he's on there --10 when he is in the territory, what he's 11 doing in his home, we are not aware 12 of. 13 As related to your question 14 you just asked, it's when he comes 15 into the territory, we know he -- he 16 is present in the territory by virtue 17 of his registration at Department of 18 Justice. Who may be -- who may have 19 flew in with him on his private jet, 20 the Government of the Virgin Islands 21 officials would not know. 22 Customs and Border Patrol would know. 23 But they've never indicated that they 24 had any reason, any suspicious as to 25 who was coming in with him and going



1 out with him. 2 So I -- you know, I don't 3 believe that there was anything that 4 we did that accommodated from the 5 Department of Justice in the sexual 6 offender office that accommodated or 7 -- or in any way facilitated his 8 illegal activity. 9 Are you aware under the Virgin 10 Islands SORNA Statute of a requirement 11 for an offender to register in person 12 at least once a year? 13 I can't recall honestly. Α. 14 Ο. Okay. 15 Well, let me think about it. Α. 16 I believe at minimum, all registrants 17 are required to register at least once 18 Those on lower tiers may have a vear. 19 to -- or higher tiers have to report 2.0 more than once a year. 21 Do you know whether 0. 22 Mr. Epstein registered in person at 23 the Department of Justice at least 24 once a year? 25 Α. I would expect that he did. Ι

